BASE SYSTEM CIVILIAN PERFORMANCE COUNSELING CHECKLIST/RECORD

For use of this form, searAR-690-400; the proponent agency is ASA(M&RA)

Tratee JOHNSON, RAYMOND A.

ORGANIZATION/INSTALLATION

TAGD, Support Div, Recs Br, Retrieving & Disposal

PAY PLAN, SERIES/GRADE GS-204-06 RATING PERIOD

97 12 01- 98 11 30

rater SMART, DONNA

INTERMEDIATE RATER (Optional)
DENN. JAMES F.

SENIOR RATER

MAYFAIR, SUSAN J.

AFTER COURSELING

- 1. Summarize key points of the counseling on the back of this form and initial in the block provide. You may attack additional pages,
- 2. Give the Rates the form to review/initial.
- 1. If the Rates gave written input, attach it.
- 4. Forward the checkful through the nating chain to the Senior Rater
 (if establ who should review and, when satisfied that requirements are in line with mission needs, initial and date the checkful and return if to you.
- 5. Give the flatee a copy and keep the original to use for the sext counseling session.

RULES FOR COUNSELING.

improvement before the next annual rating.

- 1. Face-to-face counseling is mandatory for all civilians in the Base System.
- Use this form along with a working copy of the Evaluation Form (DA Form 7223) and the Ratus's position description for conducting performance counseling and recording counseling content/dates.

PURPOSE. The primary purpose of counseling is to define organizational mission and values, discuss

correct promon personnelles and it is servered looking, concentrating on the future and what needs to be done better. Counsaing should be timely. Counsaing only at the and of the rating is too late since

misunderstandings that impact performance and work related behavior cannot be resolved in time for

om performance/work related behavior, and enhance the Rater's ability to set and reach

dividual job expectations and performance, reinforce good performance/work related behavior

 Conduct initial courseling within at least the first 30 days of each rating period and again at the midpoint of the rating period.

CHECKLIST - LATER COUNSELING SESSION/S/

PREPARATION

- 1. Schedule the counseling session with the Ratee. Tell himher to come prepared to discuss accomplishments and review requirements and effectiveness of any completed training.
- 2. Review notes from the last session,
- 3. Consider whether priorities or expectations have changed.
- 4. For each Valueffesponsibility, answer these questions: What has the Rates done? What was done well? Why? What could have been done better? Why?
- 5. Make notes to help locus when counseling.

COUNSELING

- 1. Discuss job requirements and areas of special emphasis and priorities that have changed or this are now. Ask the Rates if helste is having problems and needs your help.
- 2. If the flater gives written input, review it.
- Tell how the Rates is doing. Talk specific examples of observed actions/results. Discuss differences in your views. Offer assessment if needed. The goal is to help the Rates succeed.
- 4. Give examples al Excellence that accounted or could have accounted.
- 5. At least during the indepoint commoding seasons, discuss the Rater's career goals, the affectiveness of training, and the Rober's pintennel to perform higher level or different tasks.

AFTER COURSELING

- Follow the same precedures for documenting, initialing, and dating as you did for the initial autoion.
- 2. At the end of the rating period, use the checklest to prepare the Rates's evaluation. Then attas the Counsaling Dischlatificated to the performance evaluation for use by the rating chain. After the Senior Rater signs the performance evaluation, hersile returns it to the Rater to discuss with Rates, if a sunior rater is used. After the Rates signs, the Rater submits the evaluation with the checklist to the servicing personnel of lice her filing.

CHECKLIST - COUNSELING AT THE BEGINNING OF THE RATING PERIOD

PREPARATION

- Schedule the counseling session and netify the Rates; suggest the Rates write down or be ready to licius; ideas about expectations and requirements.
- 2. Get a capy of the Rates's position description, rating chain, the counseling checklist, and a blank evaluation form.
- 1. Think how each Value and each Responsibility in Part V of the evaluation form applies.
- 4. Decide what you consider necessary for success in each Value/Responsibility. Be specific.
- 5. Make notes to help you with courseling.

COUNSELING

- 1. Explain the rating chain and the roles of each rater.
- Discuss the position description. If the flaton has worked in the job before, ask if he/she believes the description is accurate.
- 2. Discuss items that require top priority of fort *lareax of special emphasis*:-realizing this may change later.
- Discuss each Valueflaspensibility in Part V of the evaluation form. Ask the Rates for ideax about what Values mean and how heiste night perform assigned daties.
- S. Review the Ratee's written is not if heishe provides it.
- 6. Discuss what tasks and level of performance you expect for Success.
- If you and the Rates have different views, discuss them until you both are clear on requirements, from if the Rates disagrees, heighe must understand what you expect.
- 8. Using the DA-estabished performance standards and the tasks to be accomplished give examples of Excelence to give the Rates specifics to aim for.
- 9. Ask the flater about career goals and training needs.



DA DESPONSIBILITIES AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

To derive Responsibilities ratings, think about the tasks that were perference under each Responsibility and apply the following perfermance standards which are written at the Success (Meetz) level; e.g., the Rates usually:

TECHNICAL COMPETENCE. Has innovied us stills and still set in the work. Produces expected quality and volume. Meets deadlines. Works with right amount of supervision. Gets descript results.

ADAPTABILITY/IIIITIATIYE. Cas werk under pressure or during changing combisees. Is willing to try new ways. Suggests better ways to do business. Socialiscopts developmental appartments.

WORKING RELATIONSHIPS/COMMUNICATIONS. As a team member, works well with group and helps others get the job done. Expresses ideas clearly, Fellows instructions or axis for clarification. Shows respect and is courteous. Shows concern for ourtemer.

RESPONSIBILITY/DEPENDABILITY. Accepts responsibility for own actions. Keeps work area is urder and equipment maintained. Uses supplies, equipment and time as intended. Complies with DA emphasis programs, e.g., Total Anny Quality /TAQ, salestylsecurity, intend central, inventory management, quality assurance, EEQIAA. Schoolies management, based in advance to avail adverse impact to work unit affectivesess.

FOR POSITIONS WITH SUPERVISORY DUTIES:

SUPERVISION/LEADERSHIP. Sets and communicates unit goals that reflect organization parts, lengtements/complies with appropriate DA emphasis programs. Sets standardfleets by execut. Takes timely/appropriate personnel actions. Recruits/retains quality lend. Mativates, challenges and develops subordinates, through counseling on expectations, performance, and current public evaluates timely. Reserves conflict and maintains order.

EQUIAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (EDUIAL). Applies EED principles of personnel management (e.g., bring, training, work assignmentalished).

Concepted, commented and awarded. As appropriate, takes immediate corrective action if result harassement or other discriminatory innferior treatment is observed, reported or assignment. Provinces is advantable and emphasis to the execution of the Alformative Employment Plan. Participates in EEDIAA activities and encourages subserdinates to do so.

• ***		COURSELIUG RECORDANIMOUAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	
DATE OF COURSELING	RATEE/RATER CST. RATER SECON RATER WITHALS	REY POINTS MADE	
97-12-11 97-12-16 97-12-20 97-12-30		-PLAN FOR PERSONNEL CUTBACKS - IDENTIFY COMPUTER NEEDS; REQUISITION EQUIPMENTS - CONDUCT ATRLY SPOT-CHECK OF MINIMUM TOO PERSONNEL - REDUCE OLICP FOT. COSTS - TOMO EACH BY FYEND - COMPLETE LANTS TRANSING NEEDS SURVEY NLT OCT 98 - ATTEND BASIC SUPPRISION COLREC	. Record
LATER <i>(Decison)</i>			
MEDPOINT 98-06-06 98-07-09 98-07-14 98-07-18		- SUBMITTED TIMELY EED GOALS THAT ARE AGGRESSIVE BUT AT AALE LETH GOLFRITED EFFORT; GOD BRINKH SUPPORT - PERLUSITIANED I ZENITHS TO AUTOMATE RECORDS; TIME TO BEG ING WITH CONTRACTORS ON WORK UNIT TRAINING - MADE LIGHT DUTY ASSIGNMENT FOR CLERK WITH INJURED BACK DUCED CLAIM COST BY 50%. - QUARTERLY REVIEW OF RECORDS STELL DISCLOSES DUPOSA ERRORS - NEEDS MORE EMPHASIS	
LATER (Optional)			

REVERSE, DA FORM 7227-1, AUG 1998

USAPA VIOR

BASE STATEM CIVILIAN EVALUATION REPORT

For use of this form, see AR 690-400; the proponent agency is ASAIM&RA)

7								
	·		PART I - ADMIR	ISTRATIVE DAT				
a. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial) JOHNSON, RAYMON	DA.	P. SZN			TITLE PAY PLAN, S 6 Supv Files	ERIES AND GRADE Clerk		
d. ORGANIZATION/NSTALLATION TAGD, Support Div, Recs Br.	Retrieving &	Disposal	:			E REASON FOR	SPECIAL	
I. PERIOD COVERED /YYYYMM. FROM 9712/01 THRU 981		g. RATED MOS. 12	X] cm	EN TO RATEE	A RATE	COPY (Check one and	darei D TO RATEE 2-14-9	8
FRUM 9712/01 1980 901	1730	1.5		PHENTICATION		FURWARDE	TO HATEE 12 14-1	4
A NAME OF RATER And Fire wash word			SIGNATURE	TACK I CONTINUE			DATE	
SMART, DO			300000	1)onno	Smac	<u>t </u>	12/2/98	
GRADE/RANK, ORGANIZATION, DUTY ASSIGN GS-7, Support Division, Record	Branch, Ch	ief, Retrievi	ng and Dis	posal Sectio	a			
L NAME OF INTERMEDIATE RATER DENN, JAMI	SF.	•	SIGNATURE	James	F. De	mn	12/8/98	~~~
GRADE/RANK, ORGANIZATION, OUTY ASSIGNA GS-9, Support Division, Chief, I	IENT Records Bran	ch		ر 		•		-
c. NAME OF SENIOR RATER (Loss fire many many MAYFAIR, SU:	SAN J.		SIGNATURE (nisas	J. Mo	upin	DATE 12-13-98	
GRADE/RAMK, ORGANIZATION, OUTY ASSIGNA GS-11, TAGD, Chief, Support D	ivision						·	
d. RATEE: I understand my signature does not a	nstitute agreemen	-	SIGNATURE OF	RATEE			DATE	
disagreement with the evaluations of the Rater as verifies Part I and Part IV data.	u Samur Kater, and	awareny	A)	•	0 0	, ·	101.10	
Van 2 . 5	<u> </u>		·Kai	mond	- []. ()	mason	12/14/98	
		PART NI - PERF	ORMANCE AW.	AMDICUALITY S	TEP INCREASE			
PERCENT OF SALARYIEXCLUDES Locality Payl	2 50	OR)		AWARD APPROV		Tm		
MOUNT 1 450.00	(0)				<u> Nusa</u>	n)//a	ids	
SI (GS with Successful Level 1 Racing Only - min since but OSD TO (Grade/Stee)	man of 52 weeks	must ben	e elapsed	DATE MYYYMMI 99	10) - 1-7	FUND CITE	LK003210	
PART IV - DUTY DESCRIPTION (Resert					•			
As Work Unit Leader of the Files accordance with MARKS. Distrib and correctly disposed. Maintains Adjusts work and sets/changes prisworking conditions.	Disposal Un utes and bala current know	it, supervise inces workl wiedge of o	≃s GS-3-4 f oad, instru perations a	iles clerks v cts subordin nd answers	vho review m ates, and mor questions on p	nitors work to a procedures, poli	ssure that files are tim icies, directives, etc.	æly
•		•	-				e •	•
					1			
L AREAS OF SPECIAL EMPHASIS Implement MARKS Filing system t Reduce Workers Compensation Pro	pelore June F gram claims	iles inspectand costs.	tioa.					
COUNSELING DATES FROM CHECKLISTIRECOR	MITTAL	971211	LATER	(Optional)	MIDPO	980606	LATER (Opening)	
	·		PART V - VAL	UES (Rater)	 			
VALUES .	BULLET COMME	-						
_	- Always v	withing to pre	ib omerz r	caru.	, 1			-
Duty Respect	- Sets high	personal st	andards; le	ads by exa	nple.			
Zellezz zernick	- Likes to I	earn new ti	ings.					
Hener				,				
Personal courage								
A FORM 7771 AUG 1999		995	VIOUS EDITION	IS DREDIETE			u:	SAPA VI.

RATEE'S NAME (LAST, FOST, Middle Initial) JOHNSON, RAYMOND A.	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER THRU DATE 9712/01 - 9811	/30
► RESPORSIBILITIES	Specific bulet examples of other than "SUCCESS," are mandatory. Specific bulet examples of "SUCCESS" are optional but encouraged.	
TECHNICAL COMPETENCE Technical knowledges, skills, shillies Ooing work right/on time Sound judgement	- Expert knowledge of MARKS helped Section pass June Files Institute of meeds improvement. - First to automate; train subordinates.	pection wi
EXCELLENCE SUCCESS (Exceeds std) (Meets std) NEEDS IMPROVEMENT FAILS	- Always disposed of records within 2 weeks from cutoff.	
ADAPTABLITY AND INITIATIVE Adjusting to change - situations/people Trying new things Seeking self-development		e transfer of the second of th
EXCELLENCE SUCCESS (Exceeds std) (Meets std) NEEDS IMPROVEMENT FAILS		
WORKING RELATIONSHIPS & COMMUNICATIONS Supporting learn Respecting others Expressing ideas clearly Listeninghunderst anding		
EXCELLENCE SUCCESS [Exceeds stat]		
4. RESPONSIBILITY AND DEPENDABILITY Dependable/relable Maintaining lacitities/equipment Conserving supplies/time Procelespiagnent salety	- Rearranged office to reduce OWCP claims by 25% Reduced costs with two Light-duty assignments.	
EXCELLENCE SUCCESS (Exceeds 11d) (Morts 11d) NEEDS IMPROVEMENT FAILS	- 100% suspense met-compared to last year's unit performance of 75%.	less than
	6 apply to pesitions with some supervisory-duties	**
S. SUPERVISION AND LEADERSHIP Missian lecused per foresance oriented Set attachard Lack by example	- Established first TAQ teams in Division—to improve morale and effectiveness.	
Metivating/developing others Implementing DA emphasis programs/managing resources	- Effected sensitive employee removal with care/concern.	The state of the s
EXCELLENCE SUCCESS (Excepts stat) (Mesty stat) NEEDS IMPROVEMENT FAR.S		
E. EEO AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION Respecting depicty Activiting planned actions Providing opportunity Schring problems	,	
EXCELLENCE SUCCESS (Exceeds std) (Alexes std) NEEDS IMPROVEMENT FAILS		Section 1
PART VI -SEITIOR NATER (II used) er NATER (en serier roter used)	PART VII - SEMOR RATER #1 ===	A second
OVERALL PERFORMANCE	BULLET COMMENTS (Performance/Petential)	To the second se
SUCCESSFUL FAIR UNSUCCESSFUL		できた。
(MUST Have Senior Rater Review)		
A completed DA Form 7223-1 was received with this report and considered in my evaluation and review:		

VALUES

IF YOU JUST CAN'T FIND THE RIGHT WORDS FOR THE VALUES BULLETS, HERE ARE SOME SUGGESTIONS:

- SINCERE AND HONEST PERSON WHO BELIEVES IN AND PRACTICES TEAM SUCCESS
- ACCEPTS CHALLENGES AND DOES WHATEVER IS REQUIRED TO TACKLE THE TASK AT HAND
- COMMITMENT AND LOYALTY TO WORK IS SECOND TO NONE
- MAINTAINS A "MISSION FIRST" ATTITUDE
- SHARES EXPERTISE WITH OTHERS BY WILLINGLY PROVIDING ADVICE AND TRAINING TO CO-WORKERS
- TOOK SEVERAL CLASSES TO HELP DEVELOP SKILLS AND FIND INNOVATIVE WAYS TO ACCOMPLISH MISSION
- WORKS WELL WITH AND IS HIGHLY RESPECTED BY CO-WORKERS AND SUPERIORS ALIKE
- DEFINITE POTENTIAL FOR INCREASED RESPONSIBILITY
- SELF-MOTIVATED; DEDICATED TO QUALITY PERFORMANCE







RATING DEFINITIONS RESPONSIBILITIES/OBJECTIVES

- EXCELLENCE Consistently exceeds level described by standards and documented expectations; frequently produces more and/or better than expected.
- SUCCESS Usually performs at level described by standards and documented expectations. Quality/quantity of accomplishments are generally at expected levels. Strengths clearly outweigh weaknesses.
- NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Sometimes performs at level described by standards and documented expectations, however, fails enough so that weaknesses slightly outweigh strengths.
- FAILS Frequently fails to perform at level described by standards and documented expectations. Rarely achieves expected results. Weaknesses clearly outweigh strengths.







TAPES BASE SYSTEM

OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING DEFINITIONS:

SUCCESSFUL LEVEL 1 – Ratee with no supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in 3 or more of the non-supervisory Responsibilities and SUCCESS in the remaining non-supervisory Responsibilities. Ratee with supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in 4 or more Responsibilities—at least one of which must be either Supervision/Leadership or EEO/AA—and SUCCESS in the remainder.

SUCCESSFUL LEVEL 2 – Ratee with no supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in at least one and SUCCESS in remainder of non-supervisory Responsibilities. Ratee with supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in at least two Responsibilities—one must be either Supervision/Leadership or EEO/AA and SUCCESS in the remainder.

SUCCESSFUL LEVEL 3 – Ratee with no supervisory duties is rated SUCCESS in ALL Rated Responsibilities. Ratee with supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in one or more non-supervisory Responsibilities but SUCCESS in both Supervision/ Leadership and EEO/AA or who is rated SUCCESS ih all non-supervisory Responsibilities and EXCELLENCE in either Supervision/Leadership or EEO/AA.

FAIR - Ratee is rated NEEDS IMPROVEMENT in 1 or more Responsibilities and not rated FAILS in any Responsibility.



UNSUCCESSFUL- Ratee is rated FAILS in 1 or more Responsibilities-regardless of ratings assigned remaining Responsibilities.

			PART I - E	ATEE IDENTIFIC	ATION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· !
a. NAME OF RAY			L PAY PLAN, SERIES GS-2130-12			C ORGANIZATIONAL Operations &	NSTALLATION Quality Assurance	Br	
			ATING CHAIN - YOUR RA	TING CHAIN FO	R THE E	VALUATION PERIOD I	S:		
RATER		NAME KEM F. YOUNG			Positi Chie	ion :f, Operations &	Quality Assr Br	• .	
INTERMEDI RATER (Optional		NAME SAM O. SIMPSON	- 1		POSITI Chie	ion :f. Quality Contr	ol Division		
SENIOR RATER		NAME HEATHER D. BROW!	N		rosm Exec	on cutive Officer		•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			PART III - VERIFICATIO	ON OF FACE-TO-	ACE DI	SCUSSION		·	
The following fact		cussions of decies, responsibilities, to 6/3	performance objectives, s 0/98	tandards, and acc		nexts for the rating pene	4 ,		
,	DATES		RATEE INITIALS	RATER INITIA	us	INTERMEDIATE RATER INITIALS	SENIOR RATER INITIALS	DATE	
INITIAL		7/1/97	MOD	KY		505	HDB	7/2	197
MIDPOINT		114198	man	ΚV		Sos	LIDA	1/16	198
			PART IV - RATEE /Compl	ere a. b. c below	for this ra	ating period)	0.70	118	1 10
STATE YOUR S	GNIFICAN	DUTTES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.				ief, Operations I	Branch	·	
responsibil		ions for worldwide			:		and and a		
		RFORMANCE OBJECTIVES/INDIVID			. •				
Initiate ac	tion to	procure three ware rised inspection processor or crisis and identify	houses in Italy	7.		\sim	stribution!	modu	
Dissemina	tes ic	rised inspection pro-	cedures for w a	irchouses	NLI	Mar 98.	211	1/48	
Investigate	traffi	c crisis and identify	appropriate co	orrective a	cuo	n within estab	lished time fra		
		ories of 1/3 existing						6090 Ci	
		Personal Property						comple	led _
		eminate Safety Che							04.9
Prepare/pr	esent b	oriefing on direct pr	ocurement con	ntracting a	ıt Jar	192 Worldwi	de Symposium	of Traf	fic
Manageme	m The	LIAIUCS.		•		U		•	
Develop at	itomate	ed tracking system i	for status/resu	its of cont	racto	or default acti	ons.		
Lead/super	vise po	ersonnel	1	S n n	,	-110-010	10 complete	d in 3	poory
E —Timely	counse	l subordinates and e	evaluate perfo	rmance.	112.4	as appaise	ω. ω _Ε ,	- , ı N	naich
E- Place w	eak pe	ersonnel I subordinates and erformer in Opportuining Needs Survey	nity to Impro	ve Period-	NLI	T 30 Sep 91.	removal att	ectea "	99
5- Comple	te Trai	ning Needs Survey	NLT Oct 91.		٠.,		•		
Support EE	O/Aff	rmative Action		•		. •			
5 – Comple	te Brai	ich Affirmative Em	ployment Plan	n Annex i	NLT	30 Nov 91.		لمرهار ور	

DA FORM 7222-1, AUG 1998

Expend awards budget

selected in allend Fall 98

PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

Shelia Jorus

5- Convert two GS-11 vacant positions to Upward Mobility, GS-5 to GS-11. My 1 converted S_ Nominate two subordinates to (1) serve on FWP Committee and (2) be an EEO Counselor.

Crailie Adams

- L UST YOUR SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTIONS
- Revised/disseminated Warehouse Inspection Procedures Feb 98
- Represented two activities in litigation—CNAMT or contractor default and USATT in fire damage caused by lightning. Government prevailed in both; total cost avoided: \$35,000.
- Safety Inspection Checklist reduced costs due to personnel/equipment damage by \$25,000.
- 1300 of 3700 commercial warehouses inventories completed. Reviewed 57 on site and paper-audited the remainder.
- Direct Procurement Contracting briefing at Jan 93 Symposium brought international acclaim.
- SOP for Personal Property Movement and Storage in SWA enabled US to develop offensive posture early on.
- Removed poor performer after Opportunity to Improve; upheld by MSPB.
- Converted GS-11 slot to support Upward Mobility Program (UMP); selected employee at GS-5 level.

 Awarded 12 employees for performance. Sent 5 employees to technical training and nominated GS-12 for long-term training.
- Reorganized from 3 to 2 Sections, realigning operations and reducing manpower requirements by 4 spaces.



PART Y - PERFORMANCE STANDARDS - SEXIOR SYSTEM CIVILIAN POSITIONS

To derive Objectives ratings, apply the applicable performance standards below; the standards are written at the SUCCESS level, e.g., Raten, in small cases:

TECHNICAL COMPETENCE. Exhibits technical browledge, shifts, and children to get deprod results within established two frames and with the appropriate level of supervision. Sets and cours realistic milestones. Establishes priorities that reflect mission and organizational acoust. Plans so that executor resources are available. Makes prompt and second decisions.

IMMOVATIORMETTATIVE. Develops and implements or suggests batter ways of doing business—methods, equipment, precesses, resources. Sockaleccepts developmental expertunities. Serves on professional technical committees, writes technical papers, joins professional societies to enhance personal incominates and advance state-of-the-ort of professional societies to enhance personal incomination and advance state-of-the-ort of professional societies.

RESPONSIBILITY/ACCQUETABILITY. Uses researces predently and for intended purposes. Consider with DA emphasis programs, e.g., EED/AA, safety/security, internal control, contrary management, quality standards, personnel assumption, contract reverts to armal beauties concerns. Supports and encourages Total Army Quality /TAOI approaches, e.g., team affort, continuous process/product emprovement and continuous process/product emprovement and continuous process/product emprovement and continuous process/product emprovement and continuous accordance of the responsibility for personal errors, takes or proposes timely/adequate corrective measures. Establishes personal performance objectives that are challenging and reflect mission meass.

WORKING RELATIONSHIPS. It as effective team player. Works well with group and others to get the job done. Exhibits a contemor care attitude: e.g., shows respect to others; is contemos congruented in areas of difference.

COMMUNICATION. Provides or excessinges accurate/complete erzi and written ideas and information in a timely manner. Listens effectively as that resultant extines above executationing of what was said. Coordinates to that all relevant informations and included information of formations and actions.

FOR SUPERVISORY POSITIONS OFLY:

ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT AND LEADERSHIP. Provides vision and concreticing consists and organizational goals to all subordinates. Sets standardhoots by example. Implementations of the expression of the expressi

EQUIAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTURITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION GENUAL Appet EED principles to all aspects of occusional management (e.g., bring, training, work accommendations, discussion, courseling and awards). As appropriate, takes from the convective action if sexual harassment or other discriminatory parties training to the security action of the Affordation of

WRITING EFFECTIVE OBJECTIVES

- a. Perhaps the most difficult part of the performance management process is expressing, in writing, exactly what you expect from your employees. You know what you want, and they most likely know what you want, but articulating that information in definite, understandable terms is a challenge.
- b. First, what are the differences between objectives and standards? The objectives tell employees what they have to do and the performance standards tell them how well they have to do it. The objectives listed in the performance plan must be observable, measurable, understandable, verifiable, equitable, achievable and exceedable. They are all considered "critical". A critical objective is an element so important that failure to meet the standards for it will warrant reassignment, removal or reduction in grade of the employee. A good place to start the identification of the objectives is the PD and its breakout of major duties.
- c. The DA-wide standards are preprinted on the support form. The standards measure the objectives established for the rate. They are written at the "Success" level. Since the standards are very generic, you should supplement them by including some of the following measurements in your ratees' objectives:
 - QUANTITY AUDITS 12 15 VOUCHERS PER DAY
 - QUALITY ROUTINE COMPUTER PROBLEMS RARELY NEED FURTHER ADJUSTMENT
 - TIMELINESS SUBMITS COMPLETED REPORTS IAW SCHEDULE
 - WORK BEHAVIOR INTERACTS WITH CUSTOMERS IN A COURTEOUS AND PROFESSIONAL MANNER, DEMONSTRATING AN ABILITY TO WORK COOPERATIVELY WITH OTHERS.
- d. Helpful Hints for Writing Objectives:



- Avoid "Backward " Objectives; that is, be positive, rather than negative. For example, Raters often express an objective like, "No more than three instances of validated rudeness during the rating period." This basically guarantees the Ratee will exceed the Objective. Two instances of rudeness will exceed the Objective, when really, NO rudeness should be acceptable. So, don't write Objectives that make it acceptable. A better way to write the Objective would be to say, "Customers are treated in a courteous manner." That's a reasonable Objective and it can easily be measured and can be exceeded.
- Be careful not to write Objectives that are "Absolute", unless a single failure by the Ratee could result in death, injury, breach of security or great monetary loss.

- Objectives should be measurable. An Objective that just describes what is to be done but which does not describe how you are going to measure it is worthless. For example, "Processes service requests in accordance with governing regulations and SOPs." As written, this Objective is not measurable and can even be interpreted as being absolute because it doesn't allow for even one failure. It might better written as, "Not more than two counselings required for failure to process service requests in accordance with governing regulations and SOPs."
- Avoid the use of percentages. An Objective which reads, "Types documents with 95% accuracy" may be measurable, but it would require that you review every single document the Ratee typed during the year, count and divide the number of errors by the number of correctly typed characters on every piece of correspondence for the entire rating period.
- The AR requires that Objectives and Standards be written only at one level, the Success Level. However, if employees are told what it takes to EXCEED the Objectives and believe that, if they do, there will be a reward, most employees will do whatever it takes to exceed.

SENIOR SYSTEM CIVILIAN EVALUATION REPORT For use of this form, see AR 690-100; the proposent agency is ASAIM&RA)

				4 6 6						
	,				PART I		ATIVE DATA			
	a NAME (Last, First Middle Initial) DAVIS, MELVI	N O.	· · ·	F 224			POSITION TITLE PAY PLAI Thief, Oper. Sect., I	C. SERIES AND GRADE PD #1243 GS-21	30-12	
=	4 DRGANIZATIONINSTALLATION OP MNUC, Aberdeen MD 2718		k Quali	ty Assu	rance Div	ision,	X ANNUA		PECIAL B	TERN
	L PERIOD COVERED (TYTT)		-	L RATED N				TEE COPY (Check one a		
	FROM 9706/30 THRU 9	806/30		- 1		GIVEN TO		FORWAR	DED TO RATEE 11 30	198
	2. NAME OF RATER Out For Many				SIGNATU	II - AUTHEN	TICATION	" 	Ta.=	
•	YOUNG,			*. [*]	JIGAN I U	Ken	~ Hours	·	1/5/98	
	GRADEHANK, DRGANIZATION, DUTY ASSI GM-13, Chief, Operations and	d Quality	Assura	nce Bra	ınch					
	L NAME OF INTERMEDIATE RATER ASSISTED SIMPSON, S	SAM O.			SIGNATU	TE SZA	n Vi Sin	rpson	7/8/98	
	GRADERANK, ORGANIZATION, DUTY ASSIGN-14, Chief, Quality Contro		0					P .		
	BROWN, HEATHER D. SIGNATURE Deather D. Brown Date 7/8/98									
	GRADEIRANK, ORGANIZATION, DUTY ASSIG GM-15, Executive Officer, M.	NUC				حبحب			10/10	•
	4. RATEE: I understand my signature does no disagreement with the evaluations of the Rate	ot constitute a	greement (7	SIGNATUR	E OF RATEE			DATE	
	disagreement with the evaluations of the half verifies Part I and Part IV data.	is swaf 24544bit is	later, and r	ME MY	14.	۸	07	*	7/31/98	
				A 07 m] ///	CE AWARDI	QUALITY STEP INCREASE		1 //3//98	
	_			DMMENO!		CE AWARDI	L ST. SL GM. GS. WS . I	FREGRMANCE AWAR	າທູສ	
늷	SES - AWARD, BONUSI]		1	NCE AWARD	PERCENT OF SALARY IE			
5 1 5 E	SALARY INCREASE	MATING	. SA	LARY		NUS		15	(02)	
1		(1)		<i>(2)</i>	1/	37	O.S. (GS with Successful L most have daysed si	evel 1 Rating Only - min	aum of 52 weeks	
ı	RECOMMENDING OFFICIALS		TES	MO	YES	MO	and but printed to	EF 451 (2)/	TO (Grade)	Medi:
	RATER INTERMEDIATE RATER		ļ. ·				AWARD APPROVED BY	deather	DRIAN	
ŀ	PERFORMANCE REVIEW BOARD		<u> </u>	 	-		DATE (YYYYMMOD)	FUND CITE	2 Diain	
ł	SENIOR RATER		ES	<u> </u>	1	L	99-7-24	- Francisco	ALK003215	•
ŀ					PART IV - DL	ITY DESCRI	TION /Rotel			
T	DAILY OUTIES AND SCOPE			range Pa	ustion Descrip	these and Army J	24 is cornect		X YES	NO
1	Supervises personnel and activiti	ies of Ope	rations	Branc	b. Direc	r brogra	m areas to include	standardizing fi	eld operations, eval	uating
1	warehouse facilities and promoti	ng improv	vement	s; over	seeing co	ntract ad	ministration; and p	rotecting govern	ment interests in de	fauits and
	disasters. Monitors ROA and SI									
	Subcommittee for Personal Prope									
	worldwide traffic crisis. Perform							-hiring, leading	, developing, evalu	ating,
ľ	awarding, disciplining, etc. Mac	rafica yuun	מסט זובה	-izbor	budget w	uicu iz m	EXCESS 01 167E	, a	45	
	•	<i>1</i>		2	1		•	•		* ,
1									•	-
L					<u> </u>					
\vdash	VALUE				PART V	· VALUES A	Ra/8/1	·		
ŀ	YALUES Loyaky		COMMEN				nerilaled Consis	·	d	
	Guty	ist.	atty an	ri 2CILIC	=22 SCLASC	s are mi	Jaraneien. Comski	earry barz miss:	on needs at top of p	iuourā
	Respect	1					2		•	•
5	Salfless service .	- Cou	rage di	uring B	lolivia dis	ration can	ned him well-desa	rved Meritoriot	s Civilian Service	Award.
	Honer	- Neve	er says	no to a	opportuni	ity to ser	ve as ambassador (for MNUC.		
1	latergrity				- 66		*			
	Personal courage						*	•		_
0/	A FORM 7222, AUG 1998				PREVIOUS ES	BO 21 NON IC	SOLETE			USJFA VI.SK

	AZZ JAMA ZESTA	Market Comment of the
	DAVIS, MELVIN O.	5
	PART VI - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Racer)	
2. PERFORMANCE DURING THIS RATING PERIOD	1A-established performance standards resulted in the following elejectives ratings:	
Excellence 75% or Mare Obj Excellence ZS-74% Obj	Success All or Excellence needs improvement Fails 1 or M	lore Disj
Includes Excellence in Org MgULdshp OR EEOJAA		##
Obj for survings X Yes 100		
	identified and to alternate sites for cost avoidan	
- Revised warehouse inspection procedures; potential property damage of \$80K.	identified 20% as unserviceable; shifted goods to alternate sites for cost avoidan	
1 '	de d'acceptance of 535K	100 mg
· ·	ulted in Government's prevailing, for cost avoidance of \$35K.	한다. 1985년 - 개왕
- 1200 warehouse inventories completed; pr	ojected result after total inventory is reduction in storage space of at least 150 fa	ıcilicies.
- Exceeded Branch EEQ/AA goals in recrui	ting, training, and awarding—enabling Division to exceed its goals.	
	last year's costs in personnel/equipment damage by \$14K.	
I -		### ##
- Direct Procurement Contracting briefing a	t Traffic Management Specialists Symposium published in four international tra	de Journais.
- Removed poor performer; upheld by MSP	В.	-
	•	
•		1
		#
e e		
		PART TO THE PART T
• ·		Ţ.
	PART VII - IUTERMEDIATE RATER /Opinand	
RULLET COMMENTS	ivity progressed negotiations for warehouses in Italy at amazing speed.	
_		÷
- Close control of carefully projected Branch	budget resulted in 98% expenditures of funds.	
- Sets example for all by supporting EEO and	mentoring/developing subordinates.	
•	•	
PART YILL - SEMIOR RATER/I work or	PART IX - SEMIOR RATERAL ALMA	
RATER (see senior rater used)		# 1
OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING	BULLET COMMENTS (Performed Presented) - Hard charging, professional role model.	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
	- Contributed significantly to US defensive posture in mid-East.	
₩	- Well deserved selection to attend AMSC.	(語) (語) (記)
SUCCESSFUL	- High potential individual; should become a senior leader in DA.	
1 0		617
4 FAIR		
5 UNSUCCESSFUL		
(MUST Have Senior Rater Review)	A completed DA Form 7222-1 was received with this report and considered on my evaluation and review:	7
j	X YES NO (Exclain)	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2



TAPES SENIOR SYSTEM

OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING DEFINITIONS:

SUCCESSFUL LEVEL 1 – Ratee with no supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in 75% or more of Objectives and SUCCESS in any remaining Objectives. Ratee with supervisory duties must be rated Excellence in either Organizational Management/Leadership Objective(s) or EEO/AA Objective(s).

SUCCESSFUL LEVEL 2 – Ratee with no supervisory duties is rated EXCELLENCE in 25-74% of rated Objectives and SUCCESS in remaining Objectives. Ratee with supervisory duties must be rated EXCELLENCE in either Organizational Management/Leadership Objective(s) or EEO/AA Objective(s).

SUCCESSFUL LEVEL 3 – All Ratees who are rated SUCCESS in ALL rated Objectives or EXCELLENCE in 1% through 24% and SUCCESS in remaining Objectives. Ratees with supervisory duties who were rated EXCELLENCE in any number of Objectives but SUCCESS in those for both Organizational Management/Leadership and EEO/AA.

FAIR - All Ratees who are rated NEEDS IMPROVEMENT in 1 or more Objective(s) and not rated FAILS in any Objective.



UNSUCCESSFUL- All Ratees rated FAILS in 1 or more Objective (s) - regardless of ratings assigned other Objectives.

RATING PROBLEMS EXERCISES

· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
CITTII	TION 2. Van sets on amployee Block 3. The amploy
does no	TION 2: You rate an employee Block 3. The employ of accept responsibility for his substandard performance
(you we	ere being generous with the Block 3) and blames
organiz	ation politics and other employees. YOUR RESPONS
	•
SITUA'	TION 3: You rate an employee Block 2. The employ
disagree	es with elements of your appraisal and offers specific
informa	tion to refute your findings. YOUR RESPONSE:
CTTTIAT	FION 4: You rate an employee Block 3. The employ

GENERAL TAPES EXERCISES

SITUATION 1: You make some significant revisions to the performance plan of one your "problem employees." The employee's annual rating period comes to a close in 90 days. You call your CPAC representative to find if you can you rate the employee against the revised expectations in completing his appraisal. Or, can you get an extension of the rating period? WHAT WILL BE THE CPAC'S RESPONSE?
SITUATION 2: You are the supervisor of three employees who are due their annual appraisals within the next 90 days. However, you have received a well deserved promotion to another organization and will be leaving in two weeks. You call your CPAC representative to find out what you should do about your employees' appraisals. WHAT WILL BE THE CPAC'S RESPONSE?
SITUATION 3: At the end of the rating cycle, you realize that you neglected to place your two Base System employees on a performance plan (Counseling checklist/Record, DA Form 7223-1). Moreover, you never provided them any midpoint counseling. You call your CPAC representative to find out how to correct the situation. WHAT WILL BE THE CPAC'S RESPONSE?



Performance Reviews Taken from Actual Employee Performance Evaluations

"Since my last report, this employee has reached rock bottom and has started to dig."

"His men would follow him anywhere, but only out of morbid curiosity."

"I would not allow this employee to breed."

"This employee is really not so much of a has-been, but more of a definite won't be."

"Works well under constant supervision and cornered like a rat in a trap."

"He would be out of his depth in a parking lot puddle."

"This young lady has delusions of adequacy."

"He sets low personal standards and then consistently fails to achieve them."

"This employee is depriving a village somewhere of an idiot."

"Got a full six pack, but lacks the plastic thing to hold it all together."

"A gross ignoramus - 144 times worse than an ordinary ignoramus."

"He doesn't have ulcers but he's a carrier."

"He would argue with a signpost."

"When his IQ reaches 50, he should sell."

"A photographic memory, but with the lens cover glued on."

"Donated his brain to science before he was done using it."

"Gates are down, the lights are flashing, but the train isn't coming."

"Has two brains: one is lost and the other one is out looking for it."

"If he were any more stupid, he'd have to be watered twice a week."

"If you give him a penny for his thoughts, you'd get change."

"One neuron short of synapse."

"Some drank from the fountain of knowledge, he only gargled."

"It's hard to believe that he beat out 1,000,000 other sperm."





TABLE 1 (Contributions with tangible benefits)
Estimated First-Year Benefits to Government
Up 10 \$ 10,000
\$10,001-\$100,000

*\$100,001 or more.....

Amount of Award

10 percent of benefits
\$ 1,000 for the first \$ 10,000 plus 3 percent
benefits over \$ 10,000
\$3,700 for the, first \$ 100,000 plus .5
percent benefits over \$100,000

Quick Guide for Calculating Awards Based on Tangible Benefits

-							7			
	Benefits	Award	Benefits	Award	Benefits	Award	Benefits	Award	Benefits	Award
	Up to	10%	50,000	2,200	170,000	4,050	1,800,00	12,200	• 1,000	1,030*
	\$10,000							<u> </u>		
	1,000	1,030	51,000	2,230	91,000	3,430	175,000	4,075	1,900,000	12,700*
	12,000	1.060	52,000	2,260	92,000	3,490	180,000	4,100	2,000,000	13,200*
ſ	13,000	1.090	53,000	2,290	93,000	3,490	185,000	4,125	2,100,000	13,700*
	14,000	1,120	54,000	2,320	94,000	3,520	190,000	4,150	2,200,000	14,200
ſ	15,000	1,150	55,000	2,350	95,000	3,550	195,000	4,176	2,300,000	14,700
ſ	16,000	1,180	56,000	2,380	96,000	3,580	200,000	4,200	2,400,000	15,200
ſ	17,000	1,210	57,000	2,410	97,000	3,610	225,000	4,325	2,500,000	15,700*
Ī	18,000	1,240	58,000	2,440	98,000	3,640	260,000	4,460	2,600,000	16,200*
1	19,000	1,270	59,000	2,470	99,000	3,670	275,000	4,575	2,700,000	16,700*
Tit.	20,000	1,300.	60,000	2,500	100,000	3,700	300,000	4,700	2,800,000	17,200*
	21,000	1,330	61,000	2,530	101,000	3,705	325, 000	4,825	2,900,000	17,600
1	22,000	1,360	62,000	2,560	102,000	3,710	350,000	4,950	3,000,000	18,200*
1	23,000	1,390	63,000	2,590	103,000	3,715	375,000	5,075	3,100,000	18,700*
-	24,000	1,420	64,000	2,620	104,000	3,720	400,000	5,200	3,200,000	19,200*
۲	25,000	1,450	65,000	2,650	106,000	3,725	425,000	5,325	3,300,000	19,700*
۲	26,000	1,480	66,000	2,680	106,000	3,730	450,000	5,450	3,400,000	20,200*
1	27,000	1,610	67,000	2,710	107,000	3,735	475,000	5,575	3,500,000	20,700°
۲	28,000	1, 640	68,000	2,740	108,000	3,740	600,000	5,700	3,600,000	21.200*
H	29,000	1,570	69,000	2,770	109,000	3,745	550,000	5,950	3,700,000	21,700*
卜	30,000	1,600	70,000	2,800	110,000	3,450	600,000	6,200	3,800,000	22,200*
1	31,000	1,630	71,000	2,830	111,000	3,755	650,000	6,450	3,900,000	22,700*
r	32,000	1,660	72,000	2,860	112,000	3,760	700,000	6,700	4,000,000	23,200*
	33,000	1,690	73,000	2,890	113,000	3,765	750,000	6,950	4,100,000	23,700*
-	34,000	1,720	74,000	2,920	114,000	3,770	800, 000	7,200	4,200,000	24,200*
\vdash	35,000	1,750	75,000	2,950	115,000	3,775	850,000	7,450	4,300,000	24,700*
	36,000	1,780	76,000	2, 980	116,000	3,780	900,000	7,700	4,360,000	25,000**
H	37,000	1,810	77,000	3,010	117,000	3,785	950,000	7,950	*Awards ov	
\vdash	38,000	1,840	78,000	3,040	118,000	3,790	1,000,000	8,200	require the	-
	39,000	1,870	79,000	3,070	119,000	3,795	1,050,000	8,450	the Office of	• •
一	40,000	1,900	80,000	3,100	120,000	2,800	1,100,000	8,700	Manage	
	41,000	1,930	81,000	3,130	125,000	3,825	1,150,000	8,950		
H	42,000	1,960	82,000	3,160	130,000	3,850	1,200,000	9,200	**Maximum	ward ·
一	43,000	1,990	83,000	3,190	135,000	3,875	1,250,000	9,450	Authorized by	
5	44,000	2,020	84,000	3,220	140,000	3,900	1,300,000	9,700	of Personnel	
1	45,000	2,050	85,000	3,250	145,000	3,926	1,350,000	9,950	Management	A .
~	46,000	2,080	86,000	73,280	150,000	3,950	1,400,000	10,200*	presidential a	
_	47,000	2,110	87,000	3,310	155,000	3,976	1,500,000	10.700*	to \$ 10,000 m	ay be paid
	48,000	2,140	88,000	3,340	160,000	4,000	1,600,000	11,200*	in addition to	the
	49,000	2,1701	89,000	3,370	165,000	4,025	1,700,000	11,700*	\$25,000.	
						1 June 1993				

AR 672-20 1 June 1993

TABLE 2 (Scale of awards based on intangible benefits)
Scale of awards based on Intangible benefits

the public.

EXTENT OF APPLICATION Extended Broad General Value of Benefit Limited Affects functions, Affects functions, Affects functions. Affects functions. mission, or personnel mission or personnel mission, or personnel mission or personnel of several offices. of an entire regional of several regional of one office, facility, facilities, or area of command. areas or commands. installation or an installations. May be applicable to or an entire organizational all of an independent department or large element of a agency or a large Affects an important independent agency headquarters. bureau. area of science or or is in the public technology interest throughout Affects a small area Affects a broad area the Nation or of science or of science or beyond. technology. technology MODERATE VALUE Change or modification of an operating principle or procedure which has moderate value sufficient to meet the \$100-250 \$250-500 2500-1,000 minimum standard for a cash award: 525-100 (Compare w/\$1,000-(Compare w/52,500-(Compare w/\$5,000-(Compare w/5250improvement of rather limited 5.000 Tangible 2.500 Tangible 10,000 Tangible 1.000 Tangible lue of a product, activity, Benefits) Benefits) Benefits) Benefits) program, or service to the public. SUBSTANTIAL VALUE Substantial change or modification of an \$500-1.000 \$1,000-2,500 \$250-500 \$100-250 operating principle or (Compare w/52,500-(Compare w/\$5,000-(Compare w/\$10,000-(Compare #/51,000procedure; an important 5,000 Tangible 10,000 Tangible 60.000 Tangible 2,500 Tangible improvement to the value of a Benefits) Benefits) Benefits) Benefits) product, activity, program, or service to the public. HIGH VALUE Complete revision of a basic principle or \$500-1,000 \$1,000-2,500 \$2,500-5,000 \$250-500 procedure; a highly (Compare w/\$5,000-(Compare w/\$10,000-(Compare w/560,000significant improvement (Compare w/52.500-10,000 Tangible 60,000 Tangible 360,000 Tangible 5,000 Tangible to the value of a product, activity. Benefits) Benefits) Benefits) Benefits) program, or service to the public EXCEPTIONAL VALUE Initiation of a new principle or major erocedure a superior \$1,000-2,500 \$2,500-5,000 \$5,000-10,000 \$500-1,000 provement to the (Compare w/\$10,000-(Compare w/\$60.000-(Compare (Compare w/\$5,000ality of a critical 360.000 Tangible w/\$360,000-1,360,000 60.000 Tangible 10,000 Tangible roduct activity, Tangible Benefits) Benefits) Benefita) Benefitz) rogram, or service to

TABLE 3 (Time-Off Awards Scale for a single contribution)

Time-Off Awards Scale for a single contribution	,
Value to Organization	Number of Hours
Moderate:	1 to 10
(1) A contribution to a product, activity,	
program. or service to the public, which is	
of sufficient value to merit formal recognition.	
(2)Beneficial change or modification of	
operating principles or procedures.	•
Substantial:	11 tọ 20
(1) An important contribution to the value	
of a product, activity, program, or service	
to the public.	
(2) Significant change or modification of	
operating principles or procedures.	
High:	21 to 30
(1) A highly significant contribution to the	
value of a product, activity, program, or	
service to the public.	
(2) Complete revision of operating	
principles or procedures, with considerable	
impact.	
Exceptional:	31 to 40
(1) a superior contribution to the quality of	31 to 40
a critical product.	
activity, program, or service to the public.	
(2) Initiation of a new principle or major	



procedure, with significant impact.



NOMINATIONS FOR SPECIAL ACT/ SERVICE AWARDS, ON-THE-SPOT AWARDS AND TIME OFF AWARDS

A Request for Personnel Action (RPA) will be used to nominate, approve, process and document each of the following awards:

Special Act or Service Award (SASA)

On-the-Spot (OTS) Cash Award

Time Off Award (TOA)

For each of these awards, the following documentation is required in the notes section of the RPA:

1. A short description of the employee's achievement



- 2. The type of award
- 3. The dollar amount or number of hours of the award
- 4. The value of benefits:

For calculating SASA and OTS Awards based on tangible benefits, use Table 1, (contributions with Tangible Benefits)

For calculating SASA and OTS Awards based on intangible benefits, use Table 2, (Scale of Awards Based on Intangible Benefits)

For TOAs that exceed eight hours determine the value by using Table 3, (Time Off Awards Scale for a Single Contribution)

5. The electronic signatures of the nominating/approving officials



HANDOUT #16



1. The CPOC has implemented a program called 'AUTONOA' which processes Individual cash awards, time-off awards, performance awards and Quality Step Increases (QSIs) without human intervention. Since the program extracts needed information from the RPA, the Gatekeeper Checklist is no longer required. For AUTONOA to process the awards accurately, managers must enter specific information in the RPA as indicated below for the type award requested.

Quality Step Increases (QSIs):

- Select "Salary Change" from the Navigation Menu
- Select "Quality Step Increase" .
- On page one of the RPA, enter the Nature of Action of "892" in block 5A.
- On page four of the RPA, PART D, "Remarks" enter the most recent appraisal information as follows:

Type of Appraisal:

Appraisal Rating:

Approval Date of Appraisal:

Monetary Awards:

- Select "Award/One Time Payment" from the Navigation Menu
- On page one of the RPA:
 - > Enter the employee's name in block
 - > Enter Nature of Action "840" in block 5A
- On page two of the RPA, enter the dollar amount or the percentage of basic pay in block
- On page four of the RPA, PART D, "Remarks" enter the type of award with one of the following word combinations as written:
 - o On-the-spot
 - o Performance Award
 - o Special Act or Service
- If the award is a performance award, you will need to enter the additional information for the most recent appraisal in the following format:

Type of Appraisal:

Appraisal Rating:

Approval Date of Appraisal:

Time Off Awards:

- Select "Award/One Time Payment" from the Navigation Menu
- On page one of the RPA:
 - o Enter the employee's name in block
 - o Enter the Nature of Action <u>"846" in block 5A</u>
- On page two of the RPA, enter the number of hours in block



2. If the RPA does not contain the data requested in #1 above, then the award will error. If appraisal data is needed and the appraisal has not been forwarded to the CPAC, or the appraisal data is not contained on the RPA, PART D, "Remarks", the CPOC will request the information. If the information is not received within 5 days, the RPA will be returned to management.



This is an example of what the Remarks section would look like for a Performance Award:

sition Data		onstruct Hist		orks and Address
14		100 40 40		A TOTAL TRANSPORT
				on/retirement?)
of Appraisal	: Annual,	Rating: E	xceptional,	
数的数据数据的数据				
ement	•••	e service and a	*****	er in the second second
	1.			
	Y			Siate
Zip	Code	respective records	Country	
				and of the
	er kan dida ayan an ay 1 5 disa ya magana 16 disa		·*····································	
	V/4			Require
	of Appraisal	ice I additional or centlicting reason of Appraisal: Annual, by 04	d additional or conflicting reasons for the emot of Appraisal: Annual, Rating: Eov 04	deditional or conflicting reasons for the employee's resignal of Appraisal: Annual, Rating: Exceptional, by 04